arXiv:1403.0763v2 [astro-ph.SR] 5 Jun 2014

Revised density of magnetized nuclear matter at the neutron drip line

M. V. Vishal, Banibrata Mukhopadhyay*
Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India
vishal.mv@physics.iisc.ernet.in , bm@physics.iisc.ernet.in
*Corresponding Author

We study the onset of neutron drip in high density matter in the presence of magnetic field. It has been found that for systems having only protons and electrons, in the presence of magnetic field $\gtrsim 10^{15}$G, the neutronization occurs at a density which is atleast an order of magnitude higher compared to that in a nonmagnetic system. In a system with heavier ions, the effect of magnetic field, however, starts arising at a much higher field, $\gtrsim 10^{17}$G. These results may have important implications in high magnetic neutron stars and white dwarfs and, in general, nuclear astrophysics when the system is embedded with high magnetic field.

PACS Nos.: 26.60.-c, 95.30.-k, 87.50.C-, 71.70.Di, 26.60.Kp

I. INTRODUCTION

The neutron stars are believed to have surface magnetic field as large as $\sim 10^{15}$G (magnetar model) [1] and hence their interior field (B_{int}) could even be a few orders of magnitude higher, say $\sim 10^{18}$G [2, 3], where the density is also higher. On the other hand, for white dwarfs with typical radius ($R \sim 5000$km), the maximum B_{int} could be restricted to $\sim 10^{12}$G from the scalar virial theorem. However, for the recently proposed high density white dwarfs having highly tangled/fluctuating magnetic field with radius, e.g., $R \sim 70$km, $B_{int} \gtrsim 10^{17}$G [4]. Such smaller white dwarfs' central density (ρ_c) is $\sim 10^{13}$gm/cc and hence quite above the non-magnetic threshold density of neutronization.

All the above facts motivate us to formulate the present work and to study the effect of (high) magnetic field on neutronization and neutron drip for the degenerate fermions at high densities. This will be helpful to interpret the detailed spectra of cooling neutron stars, radio emission and $\gamma-$ray burst from neutron stars. At such high density regions of neutron stars and white dwarfs, the mean Fermi energy and the cyclotron energy of an electron exceed its rest-mass energy; for the latter condition to hold, the magnetic field needs to be sufficiently high, making the electrons relativistic. We know that inverse $\beta-$decay can occur when the energy of electrons becomes higher than the difference between the rest mass energies of neutron and proton. Such a condition is expected to be modified in the presence of magnetic field which we plan to explore here at zero temperature.

Effects of magnetic field to the neutron star matter were investigated earlier in different contexts. For example, the enhancement of electron and neutron densities in the inner and outer crusts of neutron stars was discussed in the presence of high magnetic field [5, 6]. The authors adopted the Thomas Fermi model for their calculations and one of the motivations was to understand the transport phenomena within magnetars. Based on fully self-consistent covariant density functional theory, the influence of strong magnetic fields on nuclear structure has been studied in the context of magnetars [7]. These authors showed that a field strength $\gtrsim 10^{17}$G, which presumably corresponds to the central/crust field of magnetars, appreciably modifies the nuclear ground state. Hence, the composition of the magnetar crust might be radically different from that of normal neutron stars which might have significant implications to various related properties, such as pulsar glitches, cooling etc. Other authors investigated numerically the variations of composition and pressure at the onset of neutron drip with the magnetic field [8], which we will recall in §3 again for comparison with the present work.

The plan of the paper is the following. In the next section, we discuss the neutronization density in a neutron-proton-electron ($n-p-e$) gas. Subsequently, in §3 we derive the neutron drip density in a system with heavier ions. Finally, we end with a summary in §4.

II. NEUTRONIZATION IN A NEUTRON-PROTON-ELECTRON GAS

The system is neutral in charge and, hence, the number densities of electrons and protons are same. The generic condition for the initiation of inverse $\beta-$decay and hence neutronization is chemical equilibrium given by

$$E_e^F + E_p^F = E_n^F, \tag{1}$$

where $E^F_{e,p,n}$ are the Fermi energies for electron, proton and neutron respectively given by

$$E^F_{e,p} = \sqrt{{p^F}^2c^2 + \Delta_{e,p}c^4} \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta_{e,p} = m^2_{e,p}(1 + 2\nu_{e,p}B^{e,p}_D) \tag{2}$$

and

$$E^F_n = \sqrt{{p^F_n}^2c^2 + m^2_n c^4}, \tag{3}$$

where $m_{e,p,n}$ are the masses of electron, proton and neutron respectively, $B^{e,p}_D$ are the magnetic fields in the units of respective critical fields $B^e_c = 4.414 \times 10^{13}$G and $B^p_c = 1.364 \times 10^{20}$G, for electrons and protons respectively, $\nu_{e,p}$ are the occupied Landau levels in the respective energies, p^F is the Fermi momentum of electrons and protons, p^F_n is the Fermi momentum of neutrons and c is the speed of light. The neutronization density for this system was investigated by previous authors [2]. Nevertheless, here we discuss the results categorically. Let us consider three possible cases, some of them may turn out to be absurd.

a. Case I: ${p^F}^2/c^2 >> \Delta_{e,p}$*:* This happens when both protons and electrons are highly relativistic. At the beginning of formation of neutrons (and hence the corresponding momentum is zero), from eqn. (1) we obtain

$$p^F/c = m_n/2, \tag{4}$$

which however violates initial choice as $m_p \sim m_n$. Hence, this situation is unphysical to reveal the neutronization.

b. Case II: ${p^F}^2/c^2 \sim \Delta_p >> \Delta_e$*:* This happens when electrons are highly relativistic but protons are just becoming relativistic. From eqn. (1) the initiation of neutronization occurs at

$$p^F/c = \frac{m^2_n - \Delta_p}{2m_n}, \tag{5}$$

which, however, turns out to be negative unless $\Delta_p \sim m^2_p$. However, this corresponds to $E^F_p \sim m_p c^2$ which cannot reveal relativistic protons. Hence, this case is unphysical.

c. Case III: ${p^F}^2/c^2 \sim \Delta_e << \Delta_p$*:* This corresponds to relativistic electrons but nonrelativistic protons, which reads eqn. (1) as

$$\Delta^{1/2}_p + \sqrt{\left(\frac{p^F}{c}\right)^2 + \Delta_e} = m_n \tag{6}$$

at the neutronization, revealing further

$$p^F/c = m_n\sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{m_p}{m_n}\sqrt{1 + 2\nu_p B^p_D}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{m_e}{m_n}\right)^2 (1 + 2\nu_e B^e_D)}. \tag{7}$$

When the Fermi energy and magnetic field of the system are such that the electrons lie in the ground Landau level only (with $\nu_e = 0$) and the protons are not affected by the magnetic field (with $E_{Fp_{max}} \sim m_p c^2$), the neutronization density

$$\rho_{neut} = \frac{2eB^e_D B^e_c}{h^2 c} p^F (m_e + m_p) = 0.343 B^e_D 10^7 \text{ gm/cc}, \tag{8}$$

where e is the charge of electrons and h the Planck's constant. Eq. (8) implies that the neutronization density increases linearly with the magnetic field and for the increment to be at least an order of magnitude compared to the nonmagnetic case, the magnetic field B has to be $\sim 10^{15}$G.

However, beyond neutronization, the chemical equilibrium eqn. (1) gives

$$\sqrt{{p^F}^2 + m^2_e c^2} + \sqrt{{p^F}^2 + m^2_p c^2} \;=\; \sqrt{{p^F_n}^2 + m^2_n c^2}, \tag{9}$$

where we have assumed that all the electrons reside in the ground Landau level and for this to be true, $B^e_D > 2.77$ (see [2]), and the protons are not affected by the chosen value of magnetic field.



FIG. 1: Density beyond neutronization in an $n-p-e$ system as a function of (dimensionless) Fermi momentum of neutrons, for different magnetic fields. All electrons reside in the ground Landau level and the protons are not affected by the chosen magnetic fields.

Therefore, the density beyond neutronization is given by

$$\rho_{bd} \approx m_p N_e + \frac{\epsilon_n}{c^2}, \quad \text{where} \quad N_e = \frac{2B_D^e}{(2\pi)^2 \lambda_e^3} \frac{p^F}{m_e c}, \tag{10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_n &= \frac{m_n c^2}{\lambda_n^3} \chi(x_n^F), \quad x_n^F = p_n^F/m_n c, \quad \lambda_e = \hbar/m_e c, \quad \lambda_n = \hbar/m_n c, \\ \chi(x_n^F) &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\left[x_n^F(1+2{x_n^F}^2)\sqrt{1+{x_n^F}^2} - \log(x_n^F + \sqrt{1+{x_n^F}^2})\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Here N_e is the number density of electrons (which is also the number density of protons), ϵ_n is the energy density of neutrons, λ_e and λ_n are the Compton wavelengths of the electron and neutron respectively and $\hbar = h/2\pi$. Now eqn. (9) expresses p^F in terms of p_n^F. Hence ρ_{bd} varies as a function of x_n^F for a given B_D^e, as shown in Fig. 1.

III. NEUTRON DRIP IN A GAS WITH HEAVY IONS

The results of this section are mostly applicable for neutron stars and maybe for white dwarfs with heavier ion(s). We adopt the Harrison-Wheeler formalism [9] in describing the equilibrium composition of nuclear matter. We do not include the shell effects in the model, as they have a negligible effect on the density of the system. Here we intend to determine the variation in the density at the onset of drip with the variation in magnetic field. Hence, the lattice energy effects can be neglected, as they have a significant influence only on the composition of the system and an even lesser influence on the pressure. They do not alter the density of the mixture [10]. Moreover, the Harrison-Wheeler model has the added advantage of allowing one to obtain a purely analytical relation between the drip density and the field strength, as we shall show below. Previous authors obtained a relation between the field and drip pressure (see, e.g., [8]), however purely in numerical computations. Their results have the disadvantage that the drip pressure versus magnetic field relation is obtained numerically at discreet values of the field with reasonably large intervals. This kills an important physics revealing oscillations of the drip density at the lower values of field, which we address below

in detail in our analysis. Moreover, they considered only a limited range of fields while studying the drip pressure variation. Whereas we investigate the density for a wide range of field strengths so as to understand the regimes where there is a significant change in the drip density.

We assume that given enough time, following nuclear burning, cold catalyzed material will achieve complete thermodynamic equilibrium. The matter composition and equation of state will then be determined by the lowest possible energy state of the matter. The constituents of the matter are assumed to be ions, free electrons and free neutrons and the drip density is obtained by finding the density of the equilibrium composition, when the neutron number density is zero (neutrons just start appearing). We further assume the strong magnetic field present in the matter is constant throughout. We then obtain the equilibrium density by minimizing the energy density of the mixture with respect to the number densities of the ions, electrons and neutrons. Due to the presence of the magnetic field, the electrons are Landau quantized but the field of present interest (say, upto the value which a neutron star core could plausibly have) is small enough so that it does not affect the ions.

Now we proceed to establish our results. Note that for the convenience of the readers, we follow the well-known textbook by Shapiro & Teukolsky [10] in developing our results, which discusses the non-magnetic results in detail. The total energy density of the system can be written as

$$\epsilon_{total} = N_{ion} M(A,Z) + \epsilon_e^{'}(N_e) + \epsilon_n(N_n). \tag{12}$$

Here $M(A,Z)$ is the energy of a single ion (A,Z), including the rest mass energy, where A and Z are atomic mass and atomic number respectively. It is conventional to include the rest mass energy of the electrons in the energy of the ions (see, e.g., [10] for details of non-magnetic results). Therefore, $\epsilon_e^{'}$ is the energy density of the electrons after subtracting $N_e m_e c^2$ from the total energy density ϵ_e and ϵ_n is the total energy density of neutrons. The system is neutral in charge. Hence, the number densities N_{ion}, N_e and N_n, of ions, electrons and neutrons respectively, and the total baryon number density N are related as

$$N = N_{ion} A + N_n, \;\; N_e = N_{ion} Z. \tag{13}$$

These can be written in terms of mean numbers per baryon as

$$Y_{ion} A + Y_n = \; 1, \;\; Y_{ion} Z = Y_e, \tag{14}$$

where $Y_i = N_i/N$. Hence at $T = 0$, we can write ϵ_{total} as a function of either (N, A, Z, Y_n) or (N, Y_{ion}, Y_e, Y_n). The equilibrium composition is obtained by minimizing ϵ_{total} with respect to A, Z and Y_n for a constant N.

We use the semi-empirical mass formula of Green [11] based on the liquid drop nuclear model

$$M(A,Z) = m_u c^2 \left[b_1 A + b_2 A^{2/3} - b_3 Z + \frac{b_4}{A}\left(\frac{A}{2} - Z\right)^2 + \frac{b_5 Z^2}{A^{1/3}} \right], \tag{15}$$

where

$$b_1 = 0.991749, \;\; b_2 = 0.01911, \;\; b_3 = 0.000840, \;\; b_4 = 0.10175, \;\; b_5 = 0.000763$$

and $m_u = 1.66 \times 10^{-24}$gm (1 amu). Hence eqn. (12) becomes

$$\epsilon_{total} = \frac{N(1 - Y_n)}{A} M(A,Z) + \epsilon_e^{'}(N_e) + \epsilon_n(N_n). \tag{16}$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\epsilon_e^{'}}{\mathrm{d}N_e} = E_e^F - m_e c^2, \;\; \frac{\mathrm{d}\epsilon_n}{\mathrm{d}N_n} = E_n^F. \tag{17}$$

Now we approximate A and Z as continuous variables and equations for equilibrium compositions can be found from eqns. (16) and (17) as

$$\frac{\partial \epsilon_{total}}{\partial Z} = 0, \;\; \text{and hence} \;\; \frac{\partial M}{\partial Z} = -(E_e^F - m_e c^2), \tag{18}$$

$$\frac{\partial \epsilon_{total}}{\partial A} = 0, \;\; \text{and hence} \;\; A^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial A}\left(\frac{M}{A}\right) = Z\left(E_e^F - m_e c^2\right), \tag{19}$$

and finally

$$\frac{\partial \epsilon_{total}}{\partial Y_n} = 0, \text{ and hence using eqn. (19) } \frac{\partial M}{\partial A} = E_n^F. \tag{20}$$

Now combining eqns. (18) and (19), we obtain

$$Z = \left(\frac{b_2}{2b_5}\right) A^{1/2}. \tag{21}$$

Eqns. (18) and (19) also give

$$\left[b_3 + b_4\left(1 - \frac{2Z}{A}\right) - 2b_5\frac{Z}{A^{1/3}}\right] m_u c^2 \;=\; E_e^F - m_e c^2, \tag{22}$$

$$\left[b_1 + \frac{2}{3}\,b_2\,A^{-1/3} + b_4\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{Z^2}{A^2}\right) - \frac{b_5 Z^2}{3A^{4/3}}\right] m_u c^2 \;=\; E_n^F, \tag{23}$$

where $E_n^F = \sqrt{}(1 + {x_n^F}^2) m_n c^2$, and at the onset of neutron drip, $x_n = 0$ when the number density of neutron is just zero. Therefore, at the onset of drip, eqn. (23) can be written as

$$b_1 + \frac{2}{3}\,b_2\,A^{-1/3} + b_4\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{Z^2}{A^2}\right) - \frac{b_5 Z^2}{3A^{4/3}} = \frac{m_n}{m_u}. \tag{24}$$

Solving eqns. (21) and (24), we obtain $(A, Z) \approx (122, 39.1)$ for the onset of drip. Using these values for A and Z, we can obtain the electron Fermi energy at the drip from eqn. (22) as

$$\frac{E_{ed}^F}{m_e c^2} \;=\; 47.2367. \tag{25}$$

Figure 2 shows, combining eqns. (21) and (22), that beyond drip, E_e^F first increases with the increase of A and subsequently saturates to a value ~ 128.3 at a large A. However, a large A at a high magnetic field corresponds to a value of E_e^F and then density well within the maximum possible central density of a neutron star. For example, at $B_D^e = 20000$ (and hence the magnetic field 8.83×10^{17}G), $A = 122$ corresponds to a density $\rho_{bd} = 4.39 \times 10^{12}$gm/cc, whereas the corresponding nonmagnetic $\rho_{bd} = 3.25 \times 10^{11}$gm/cc. This clearly indicates the huge effects of magnetic field in the matter. Higher values of $A = 600$ and 4000, at the same magnetic field, correspond to $\rho_{bd} = 1.2 \times 10^{13}$gm/cc and 7×10^{13}gm/cc respectively — all are within the typical nuclear matter density $\sim 10^{14}$gm/cc. Hence, highly magnetized neutron stars may exhibit atomic structures with large A.

The Fermi energy of a Landau quantized electron with magnetic field strength B confined in ν_e levels can be rewritten as

$$E_e^F \;=\; \left[c^2 p_{ze}^F(\nu_e)^2 + m_e^2 c^4\left(1 + \frac{2\nu_e B}{B_c^e}\right)\right]^{1/2},$$

where p_{ze}^F is z-component of the Fermi momentum of the electron. Therefore, from eqn. (25)

$$x_e^F(\nu_e) = \frac{p_{ze}^F}{m_e c} = \left(2230.31 - \frac{2\nu_e B}{B_c^e}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{26}$$

Let us now determine the number of states occupied by the Landau quantized electrons [2]. This is given by

$$N_e = \int dN_e = \sum_{\nu_e=0}^{\nu_m} \frac{eB}{h^2 c}\, g_{\nu_e} \int dp_z = \frac{2B_D^e}{(2\pi)^2 \lambda_e^3} \sum_{\nu_e=0}^{\nu_m} g_{\nu_e}\, x_e^F(\nu_e), \tag{27}$$

where g_{ν_e} the degeneracy factor which is $2 - \delta_{\nu_e,0}$. The upper limit ν_m in the summation is obtained from the condition that ${p_{ze}^F(\nu_e)}^2 \geq 0$, which gives

$$\nu_e \leq \frac{(E_e^F/m_e c^2)^2 - 1}{2B_D^e} \quad \text{and hence } \nu_m = \frac{(E_{emax}^F/m_e c^2)^2 - 1}{2B_D^e}. \tag{28}$$



FIG. 2: Electron Fermi energy beyond drip as a function of atomic mass.

The electron energy density at zero temperature is then [2]

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_e &= \frac{2B_D^e}{(2\pi)^2\lambda_e^3}\sum_{\nu_e=0}^{\nu_m} g_{\nu_e}\int_0^{x_e^F(\nu_e)} E_e^F\,\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{p_{ze}}{m_e c}\right)\\ &= m_e c^2\frac{2B_D^e}{(2\pi)^2\lambda_e^3}\sum_{\nu_e=0}^{\nu_m} g_{\nu_e}(1+2\nu_e B_D^e)\,\Psi\left(\frac{x_e^F(\nu_e)}{(1+2\nu_e B_D^e)^{1/2}}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where

$$\Psi(z) = \frac{1}{2}z\sqrt{1+z^2} + \frac{1}{2}\,ln(z+\sqrt{1+z^2}).$$

The density of the mixture of ions and electrons at the onset of drip is therefore

$$\rho_{drip} = \frac{\epsilon_{total}}{c^2} = \frac{N_e M(A,Z)/Z + \epsilon_e - N_e m_e c^2}{c^2}. \tag{30}$$

Now eqn. (26) gives x_e^F as a function of B_D^e. Therefore, the above equation gives us a relationship between ρ_{drip} and B_D^e. Note that for $B >> B_c^e$, $\nu_e = 0$ and hence x_e^F becomes independent of B, which renders N_e to be linear in B_D^e in eqn. (27). Indeed, Fig. 3 shows that for $B \gtrsim 10^{16}$G, drip density increases linearly with magnetic field. This linearity arises due to the fact that beyond a certain B_D^e, the Landau quantized electrons reside in the ground state energy level. We also notice that before the onset of linearity, the drip density oscillates about the non-magnetic result, with small amplitudes. A significant change in the drip density arises only in the linear regime. For instance, the drip density increases by an order, compared to that of the nonmagnetic gas, for a field $\sim 6.4\times10^{17}$G.

For completeness, we also provide in Fig. 4 the equation of states (EoSs) of magnetized nuclear matter for different values of (fixed) magnetic field and compare them with the nonmagnetic EoS. All the EoSs are plotted starting from the density corresponding to $A = 56$. However, for a very high B (here $B_D^e = 20000$), the corresponding density increases noticeably compared to that of a lower B and hence the corresponding EoS begins from a larger density, as shown in Fig. 4.

IV. SUMMARY

We have studied the effects of magnetic field on the onsets of neutronization for $n-p-e$ and neutron drip for ion-electron gas systems. We have found that beyond a certain value of magnetic field, the neutronization/drip density



FIG. 3: Drip density in a gas of electrons and ions as a function of magnetic field.



FIG. 4: Equation of state for $B^e_D = 440, 4400, 20000$ and nonmagnetic matter.

of either of the systems increases, compared to the nonmagnetic cases, linearly with the magnetic field. This value of field for the former is $B^e_D \sim 2.7$ and for the latter ~ 1115. The significant change in the drip density arises only in the linear regime. For instance, the drip density for the ionic system increases by an order, compared to that of the nonmagnetic gas, for a field $\sim 6.4 \times 10^{17}$G.

Apart from the various applications to neutron stars, the present findings may have interesting consequences to the recently proposed high density ($\gtrsim 10^{11}$gm/cc), high magnetic field ($\gtrsim 10^{15}$G) white dwarfs [4, 12, 13, 15, 16]. The inner region of white dwarfs, in particular for $B_{int} \gtrsim 10^{17}$G, would have been neutronized if the drip density had not been changed with the field. Note that some authors have questioned the existence of such white dwarfs [14]. For

example, the authors have argued that for the white dwarfs to be stable against neutronization, the magnetic field at the center should be less than few times 10^{16}G for typical matter compositions. However, as discussed in detail in [15] (also see [12]), for the central field $\sim 10^{16}$G, the mass of the said highly magnetized white dwarfs already becomes significantly super-Chandrasekhar with the value 2.44 solar mass. Therefore, such super-Chandrasekhar white dwarfs remain stable according to the neutronization limit given by the same authors only. Even if the white dwarfs with very large field consist of central region with density larger than the modified drip density, the present finding will help in putting a constraint on such models of white dwarfs with pure electron degenerate matter.

Acknowledgment

The work has been supported by the project with Grant No. ISRO/RES/2/367/10-11. The authors would like to thank the referee for useful comments and suggestions to improve the quality of the paper.

[1] R. C. Duncan, C. Thompson, Astrophys. J **392**, L9 (1992)
[2] D. Lai, S. L. Shapiro, Astrophys. J **383**, 745 (1991)
[3] M. Sinha, B. Mukhopadhyay, A. Sedrakian, Nuc. Phys. A **898**, 43 (2013)
[4] U. Das, B. Mukhopadhyay, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 071102 (2013)
[5] R. Nandi, D. Bandyopadhyay, I. N. Mishustin, W. Greiner, Astrophys. J **736**, 156 (2011)
[6] R. Nandi, D. Bandyopadhyay, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **312**, 042016 (2011)
[7] D. Pena Arteaga, M. Grasso, E. Khan, P. Ring, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 045806 (2011)
[8] N. Chamel, et al., Phys. Rev. C **86**, 055804 (2012)
[9] B. K. Harrison, K. S. Thorne, M. Wakano, J. A. Wheeler, in *Gravitation Theory and Gravitational Collapse*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois (1965)
[10] S. L. Shapiro, S. A. Teukolsky, in *Black Holes, White Dwarfs, and Neutron Stars*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (1983)
[11] A. E. S. Green, in *Nuclear Physics*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1955)
[12] U. Das, B. Mukhopadhyay, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 042001 (2012)
[13] U. Das, B. Mukhopadhyay, Astrophys. J. **767**, L14 (2013)
[14] N. Chamel, A. F. Fantina, P. J. Davis, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 081301 (2013)
[15] U. Das, B. Mukhopadhyay, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **29**, 1450035 (2014)
[16] U. Das, B. Mukhopadhyay, JCAP *to appear* (2014); arXiv:1404.7627